

The fundamental form of almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifolds

Liana David

November 2, 2009

Author's address: Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei nr 21, Sector 1, Bucharest, Romania; tel. 0040-21-3196531; fax 0040-21-3196505; e-mail address: liana.david@imar.ro and liana.r.david@gmail.com

Abstract: We prove that if the fundamental 4-form Ω of an almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold (M, Q, g) of dimension $4n \geq 8$ satisfies the conformal-Killing equation, then (M, Q, g) is quaternionic-Kähler.

MSC: 51H25, 53C26.

Subject Classification: Real and complex differential geometry; Geometric theory of differential equations.

Key words: Almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifolds; Quaternionic-Kähler manifolds; Fundamental form; Conformal-Killing equation.

1 Introduction

Conformal-Killing (respectively, Killing) 1-forms are dual to conformal-Killing (respectively, Killing) vector fields. More generally, a p -form ψ ($p \geq 1$) on a Riemannian manifold (M^m, g) is conformal-Killing, if it satisfies the conformal-Killing equation

$$\nabla_X \psi = \frac{1}{p+1} i_X d\psi - \frac{1}{m-p+1} X \wedge \delta\psi, \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad (1)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and (like everywhere in this note) we identify tangent vectors with 1-forms by means of the Riemannian duality. Co-closed conformal-Killing forms are called Killing. Note that ψ is Killing if and only if its covariant derivative is totally skew, or, equivalently, $(\nabla_X \psi)(X, \cdot) = 0$ for any vector field X .

Conformal-Killing forms exist on spaces of constant curvature, on Sasaki manifolds [6] and on some classes of Kähler manifolds, like Bochner-flat Kähler manifolds and conformally-Einstein Kähler manifolds [1], [4]. On compact quaternionic-Kähler manifolds of dimension at least eight, there are no non-parallel conformal-Killing 2-forms, unless the quaternionic-Kähler manifold is isomorphic to the standard quaternionic projective space, in which case the space of conformal-Killing 2-forms is naturally isomorphic to the space of Killing vector fields [3].

Conformal-Killing forms exist also on manifolds which admit twistor spinors [6]. Recall that a twistor spinor on a Riemannian spin manifold (M^m, g) is a section ρ of the spinor bundle, which satisfies the equation $\nabla_X \rho = -\frac{1}{m} X \cdot D\rho$, where X is any vector field, D is the Dirac operator and " $\cdot$ " denotes the Clifford multiplication. If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are twistor spinors, then the p -form

$$\omega_p(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \langle (X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_p) \cdot \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$$

is conformal-Killing (for any $p \geq 1$). For a survey on conformal-Killing forms, see for example [6].

The starting point of this note is a result proved in [6], which states that if the Kähler form of an almost-Hermitian manifold is conformal-Killing, then the almost-Hermitian manifold is nearly Kähler. Our main Theorem is an analogue of this result in quaternionic geometry and is stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let (M^{4n}, Q, g) be an almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold, of dimension $4n \geq 8$. Suppose that the fundamental 4-form Ω of (M, Q, g) is conformal-Killing. Then (M, Q, g) is quaternionic-Kähler.*

Theorem 1 generalizes a result proved in [8], namely that in dimension at least eight, a nearly quaternionic-Kähler manifold (i.e. an almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold for which the fundamental 4-form is a Killing form) is necessarily quaternionic-Kähler.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall basic facts on quaternionic Hermitian geometry. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our main result, which is based on a representation theoretic argument. Similar arguments were already employed in [7] and [8].

2 Quaternionic Hermitian geometry

Let M be a manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$ (in all our considerations the dimension of the manifold will be at least eight). An almost-quaternionic structure on M is a rank-three vector sub-bundle $Q \subset \text{End}(TM)$, locally generated by three anti-commuting almost complex structures $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ which satisfy $J_1 \circ J_2 = J_3$. Such a triple of almost complex structures is usually called a (local) admissible basis of Q . An almost-quaternionic Hermitian structure on M consists of an almost-quaternionic structure Q and a Riemannian metric g compatible with Q , which means that

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad \forall J \in Q, \quad J^2 = -\text{Id}, \quad \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

In the language of G -structures, an almost-quaternionic Hermitian structure on a $4n$ -dimensional manifold is an $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -structure. Therefore, on an almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold (M^{4n}, g, Q) there are two locally defined complex vector bundles E and H , of rank $2n$ and 2 respectively, associated to the standard representations of $Sp(n)$ and $Sp(1)$ on $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $\omega_E \in \Lambda^2(E^*)$ and $j_E : E \rightarrow E$ be the standard symplectic form and quaternionic structure of the bundle E , defined by the $Sp(n)$ -invariant complex symplectic form and quaternionic structure of $\mathbb{E}$. We shall often identify E with E^* by means of the map $e \rightarrow \omega_E(e, \cdot)$, so that ω_E will sometimes be considered as a bivector on E . For any $r \geq 2$ we shall denote by $\Lambda_0^r E \subset \Lambda^r E$ the kernel of the natural contraction

$$\omega_E \bullet : \Lambda^r E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r-2} E \tag{2}$$

with the symplectic form ω_E , defined by

$$\omega_E \bullet (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} \omega_E(e_i, e_j) e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r$$

where the hat denotes that the term is omitted. By means of contraction and wedge product with ω_E we can decompose $\Lambda^r E$ as

$$\Lambda^r E = \Lambda_0^r E \oplus \omega_E \wedge \Lambda_0^{r-2} E \oplus \omega_E^2 \wedge \Lambda_0^{r-4} E \oplus \cdots \tag{3}$$

The map j_E is complex anti-linear and

$$j_E^2 = -\text{Id}, \quad \omega_E(j_E u, j_E v) = \overline{\omega_E(u, v)}, \quad \omega_E(e, j_E e) > 0,$$

for any $u, v \in E$ and $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$. To simplify notations, for a vector $e \in E$ we shall often denote $\tilde{e} := j_E(e)$ its image through the quaternionic structure of E . Similar conventions will be used for the standard symplectic form

$\omega_H \in \Lambda^2(H^*)$ and quaternionic structure $j_H : H \rightarrow H$ of the bundle H .

The bundles E and H play the role of spin bundles from conformal geometry. In particular,

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}M = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H \quad (4)$$

and the complex bilinear extension of the Riemannian metric g to $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ is the tensor product $\omega_E \otimes \omega_H$. Decomposition (4) induces decompositions of the form bundles in any degree. In particular, the bundles of 2 and 3-forms decompose as (see [5])

$$\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) = S^2H \oplus S^2E \oplus S^2H\Lambda_0^2E \quad (5)$$

$$\Lambda^3(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) = H(E \oplus K) \oplus S^3H(\Lambda_0^3E \oplus E). \quad (6)$$

(In (5) and (6), and often in this note, we omit the tensor product signs). In (5) S^2H and S^2E are complexifications of the bundle Q and, respectively, of the bundle of Q -Hermitian 2-forms, i.e. 2-forms $\psi \in \Lambda^2(T^*M)$ which satisfy

$$\psi(JX, JY) = \psi(X, Y), \quad \forall J \in Q, \quad J^2 = -\text{Id}, \quad \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

In (6) K denotes the vector bundle associated to the $Sp(n)$ -module $\mathbb{K}$, which arises into the irreducible decomposition

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \Lambda_0^2\mathbb{E} \cong \Lambda_0^3\mathbb{E} \oplus \mathbb{E} \oplus \mathbb{K} \quad (7)$$

under the action of $Sp(n)$. A vector from $\mathbb{E} \otimes \Lambda_0^2\mathbb{E}$ has non-trivial component on $\mathbb{K}$ if and only if it is not totally skew.

Notations 2. We shall identify bundles with their complexification, without additional explanations. For example, in (5) $S^2H\Lambda_0^2E$ is a complex sub-bundle of $\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$. We shall use the same notation for its real part, which is a sub-bundle of $\Lambda^2(TM)$.

An almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold (M, g, Q) has a canonical 4-form, defined, in terms of an arbitrary admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q , by

$$\Omega = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \omega_3,$$

where $\omega_i := g(J_i \cdot, \cdot)$ are the Kähler forms corresponding to (g, J_i) . As proved in [2] and [7], the covariant derivative $\nabla\Omega$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g is a section of $T^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2E)$, where $S^2H\Lambda_0^2E$ is embedded into $\Lambda^4(T^*M)$ (identified with $\Lambda^4(TM)$ using the Riemannian metric), in the following way. Note first that $\Lambda^2(S^2H)$ is canonically isomorphic to S^2H (this is because S^2H is the complexification of Q , which has a natural metric

and orientation, for which any admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ is orthonormal and positively oriented). The map

$$S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E \cong \Lambda^2(S^2 H) \Lambda_0^2 E \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^4(TM) \quad (8)$$

defined by

$$(s_1 \wedge s_2)\beta \rightarrow s_1\beta \wedge s_2\omega_E - s_2\beta \wedge s_1\omega_E, \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in S^2 H, \quad \forall \beta \in \Lambda_0^2 E \quad (9)$$

is the promised embedding of $S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E$ into $\Lambda^4(TM)$.

An almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold (M, Q, g) is quaternionic-Kähler if the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g preserves the bundle Q , or, equivalently, the fundamental 4-form Ω is parallel with respect to ∇ . In fact, as already mentioned in the Introduction, according to Theorem 1.2 of [8] the weaker condition $(\nabla_X \Omega)(X, \cdot) = 0$, for any vector field X , implies that (M, Q, g) is quaternionic-Kähler.

3 Proof of the main result

In this Section we prove our main result. Let (M, Q, g) be an almost-quaternionic Hermitian manifold, whose fundamental 4-form Ω is conformal-Killing. In order to prove that Ω is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , it is enough to show that it is co-closed (being conformal-Killing, Ω is co-closed if and only if it is Killing, if and only if it is parallel, by Theorem 1.2 of [8] already mentioned before). Recall now that $\nabla \Omega$ is a section of $T^*M \otimes (S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E)$, which decomposes into irreducible sub-bundles as

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E) = HE \oplus H\Lambda_0^3 E \oplus HK \oplus (S^3 H)E \oplus S^3 H \Lambda_0^3 E \oplus (S^3 H)K. \quad (10)$$

Decomposition (10) follows from (7), together with the irreducible decomposition

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes S^2 \mathbb{H} \cong S^3 \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$$

of $\mathbb{H} \otimes S^2 \mathbb{H}$ under $Sp(1)$. While $H\Lambda_0^3 E$ and $(S^3 H)K$ are irreducible sub-bundles of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E)$, see (10), they are not irreducible sub-bundles of $\Lambda^3(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, see (6). These observations readily imply that if $\nabla \Omega$ is a section of $H\Lambda_0^3 E \oplus (S^3 H)K$, then Ω is co-closed: just write $\delta \Omega = -\sum_i (\nabla_{E_i} \Omega)(E_i, \cdot)$, where $\{E_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of TM , and use the fact that an invariant linear map between non-isomorphic irreducible representations is identically zero. (Actually, by Theorem 2.3 of [8], also the converse is true: if $\delta \Omega = 0$ then $\nabla \Omega$ is a section of $H\Lambda_0^3 E \oplus (S^3 H)K$).

Therefore, we aim to show that $\nabla\Omega$ is a section of $H\Lambda_0^3E \oplus (S^3H)K$. For this, we define the algebraic conformal-Killing operator

$$\mathcal{T} : T^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(TM) \rightarrow T^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(TM),$$

by

$$\mathcal{T}(\gamma \otimes \alpha)(X) = \frac{4}{5}\gamma(X)\alpha + \frac{1}{5}\gamma \wedge i_X\alpha - \frac{1}{4n-3}X \wedge i_\gamma\alpha \quad (11)$$

where $\gamma \in T^*M$ (is identified with a vector using the Riemannian metric), $\alpha \in \Lambda^4(TM)$ and $X \in TM$. Note that, for any 4-form $\psi \in \Omega^4(M)$,

$$\mathcal{T}(\nabla\psi)(X) = \nabla_X\psi - \frac{1}{5}i_Xd\psi + \frac{1}{4n-3}X \wedge \delta\psi, \quad \forall X \in TM. \quad (12)$$

In particular, since Ω is conformal-Killing,

$$\mathcal{T}(\nabla\Omega) = 0. \quad (13)$$

The operator $\mathcal{T}$ is $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -invariant and we extend it, by complex linearity, to $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$. Define

$$\mathcal{S} := T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2E) \ominus (H\Lambda_0^3E \oplus (S^3H)K).$$

From (10), the irreducible sub-bundles of $\mathcal{S}$ are

$$HE, \quad HK, \quad (S^3H)E, \quad S^3H\Lambda_0^3E. \quad (14)$$

For any irreducible sub-bundle W of $\mathcal{S}$, we will determine an $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -invariant linear map

$$\mathcal{T}_W : T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \rightarrow W$$

which factors through $\mathcal{T}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{T}_W = \text{pr}_W \circ \mathcal{T}$ is the composition of $\mathcal{T}$ with an $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -invariant linear map pr_W from $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ to W) such that the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_W$ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2E)$ is non-zero. An easy argument which uses (13), Schur's Lemma and the fact that irreducible sub-bundles of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2E)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic, would then imply that $\nabla\Omega$ has trivial component on W and therefore that $\nabla\Omega$ is a section of $H\Lambda_0^3E \oplus (S^3H)K$, as needed.

In order to define the maps $\mathcal{T}_W$, we apply several suitable contractions to the algebraic conformal-Killing operator $\mathcal{T}$. We first define $\mathcal{T}_{HE}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{HK}$ as follows. For a section η of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, define $\omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$, a 1-form with values in $(S^2H)\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, by

$$\omega_E \bullet (\mathcal{T}(\eta))(X) := \omega_E \bullet (\mathcal{T}(\eta)(X)), \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad (15)$$

where in (15) $\mathcal{T}(\eta)(X)$ belongs to $\Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ (is the value of the $\Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ -valued 1-form $\mathcal{T}(\eta)$ on $X \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$) and

$$\omega_E \bullet : \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \rightarrow (S^2H)\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \quad (16)$$

denotes the contraction with ω_E , which on decomposable multi-vectors

$$\beta = h_1 e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge h_4 e_4 \in \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$$

takes value

$$\omega_E(\beta) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} \omega_E(e_i, e_j) (h_i h_j + h_j h_i) h_1 e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{h_i e_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{h_j e_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge h_4 e_4.$$

Next, we define $\omega_H \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$, by contracting $\omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$, which is a section of $HE \otimes (S^2H)\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, with ω_H in the first two H -variables. Therefore, $\omega_H \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ is a section of $EH\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$. Considering $EH\Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ naturally embedded into $EH(HHEE)$, we contract further $\omega_H \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ with ω_H again in the first two H -variables. The result is a section $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ of $HEEE$. Applying suitable projections to $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ we finally obtain $\mathcal{T}_{HE}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{HK}(\eta)$, as follows.

The contraction of $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ with ω_E in the first two E -variables defines

$$\mathcal{T}_{HE}(\eta) := \omega_E \bullet \omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta). \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we can project $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$ to $H \otimes E\Lambda_0^2 E$ and then to HK , by means of the decomposition (7) (translated to vector bundles). The result of this projection is the value of $\mathcal{T}_{HK}$ on η . More precisely,

$$\mathcal{T}_{HK}(\eta) := \text{pr}_{HK}(\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)). \quad (18)$$

Proposition 3. *The operators $\mathcal{T}_{HE}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{HK}$ defined by (17) and (18) are non-trivial on $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2 E)$.*

In order to prove Proposition 3, we will show that $\mathcal{T}_{HE}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{HK}$ take non-zero value on $\gamma_0 \alpha_0$, where

$$\gamma_0 := \tilde{e}_1 h, \quad \alpha_0 := e_1 h \wedge e_2 h \wedge e_i \tilde{h} \wedge \tilde{e}_i \tilde{h} - e_1 \tilde{h} \wedge e_2 \tilde{h} \wedge e_i h \wedge \tilde{e}_i h \quad (19)$$

was already considered in [8]. In (19) $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is a unitary basis of (local) sections of E , with respect to the (positive definite) Hermitian metric $g_E := \omega_E(\cdot, j_E \cdot)$, chosen such that $e_{n+j} = \tilde{e}_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\{h, \tilde{h}\}$ is a unitary basis of (local) sections of H , with respect to $g_H := \omega_H(\cdot, j_H \cdot)$. In

order to simplify notations, in (19) and bellow we omit the summation sign over $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. The symplectic forms of E and H can be written as

$$\omega_E = \frac{1}{2}e_i \wedge \tilde{e}_i \in \Lambda^2 E, \quad \omega_H = h \wedge \tilde{h} \in \Lambda^2 H. \quad (20)$$

From (9) and (20), α_0 is a section of the sub-bundle $S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E$ of $\Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ and $\gamma_0 \alpha_0$ is a section of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2 H \Lambda_0^2 E)$.

We divide the proof of Proposition 3 into the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 4. *The section $\text{pr}_{HE\Lambda_0^2 E}(\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0))$ is not totally skew in the E -variables. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{HK}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0) \neq 0$.*

Proof. A straightforward computation shows that

$$i_{\gamma_0} \alpha_0 = e_i h \wedge \tilde{e}_i h \wedge e_2 \tilde{h} - 2e_1 h \wedge e_2 h \wedge \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{h}.$$

Therefore, using (11), we can write

$$\mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0) = \frac{4}{5}\gamma_0 \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{5}\gamma_0 \wedge \alpha_0(\cdot) - \frac{1}{4n-3}(F - 2G), \quad (21)$$

where $\gamma_0 \wedge \alpha_0(\cdot)$ is a 1-form with values in $\Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, whose natural contraction with a vector $X \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ is $\gamma_0 \wedge i_X \alpha_0$. Similarly, F and G are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) &:= X \wedge e_i h \wedge \tilde{e}_i h \wedge e_2 \tilde{h} \\ G(X) &:= X \wedge e_1 h \wedge e_2 h \wedge \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet (\gamma_0 \alpha_0) &= -4nh(\tilde{e}_1 e_1 e_2 - \tilde{e}_1 e_2 e_1) \\ \omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet (\gamma_0 \wedge \alpha_0(\cdot)) &= 2h(-e_i \tilde{e}_i e_2 + \tilde{e}_1 e_1 e_2 - \tilde{e}_1 e_2 e_1 + \tilde{e}_i e_i e_2) \\ &\quad + h(-e_2 e_i \tilde{e}_i + e_2 \tilde{e}_i e_i + e_i e_2 \tilde{e}_i - \tilde{e}_i e_2 e_i) \\ &\quad + (4n+2)h(e_2 \tilde{e}_1 e_1 - e_1 \tilde{e}_1 e_2) \\ &\quad + 4h(e_1 e_2 \tilde{e}_1 - e_2 e_1 \tilde{e}_1) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet F &= -(4n-4)he_i e_2 \tilde{e}_i + 3h(e_2 e_i \tilde{e}_i - e_2 \tilde{e}_i e_i) \\ \omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet G &= 3h(e_1 \tilde{e}_1 e_2 - e_2 \tilde{e}_1 e_1 - \tilde{e}_1 e_2 e_1 + \tilde{e}_1 e_1 e_2) - he_i e_2 \tilde{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

These relations combined with (21) readily imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0) &= \lambda_1 h \tilde{e}_1 (e_1 \wedge e_2) + \lambda_2 h (e_2 \tilde{e}_1 e_1 - e_1 \tilde{e}_1 e_2) \\ &\quad + \lambda_3 h e_2 (e_i \wedge \tilde{e}_i) + \lambda_4 h e_i e_2 \tilde{e}_i \\ &\quad + \frac{h}{5} (4(e_1 \wedge e_2) \tilde{e}_1 + 2(\tilde{e}_i \wedge e_i) e_2 - \tilde{e}_i e_2 e_i),\end{aligned}$$

with constants

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{8(-8n^2 + 7n + 3)}{5(4n - 3)}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{4(4n^2 - n - 9)}{5(4n - 3)}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{4(n + 3)}{5(4n - 3)}$$

and

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{24n - 33}{5(4n - 3)}.$$

Projecting the expression for $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ obtained above onto $HE\Lambda_0^2 E$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pr}_{HE\Lambda_0^2 E} (\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)) &= 2\lambda_1 h \tilde{e}_1 (e_1 \wedge e_2) + \left(\lambda_2 + \frac{4}{5} \right) h e_2 (\tilde{e}_1 \wedge e_1) \\ &\quad - \left(\lambda_2 + \frac{4}{5} \right) h e_1 (\tilde{e}_1 \wedge e_2) + \left(\lambda_4 + \frac{2}{5} \right) h e_i (e_2 \wedge \tilde{e}_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{5} h \tilde{e}_i (e_i \wedge e_2) + \frac{1}{2n} \left(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4 - \frac{1}{5} \right) h e_2 (e_i \wedge \tilde{e}_i),\end{aligned}$$

which is not totally skew in the E -variables. Our claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 5. *The value of $\mathcal{T}_{HE}$ on $\gamma_0 \alpha_0$ is*

$$\mathcal{T}_{HE}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0) = \frac{8n(2n + 1)}{5(4n - 3)} h e_2. \quad (22)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{HE}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ is non-zero.

Proof. The claim follows from a straightforward calculation, using the expression of $\omega_H^2 \bullet \omega_E \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ determined in the proof of Lemma 4 and the definition of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{HE}$. $\square$

Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 conclude the proof of Proposition 3.

We now define the maps $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3 H)E}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{S^3 H \Lambda_0^3 E}$. For a section η of $T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}} M)$, $\mathcal{T}(\eta)$ is a section of $EH \otimes \Lambda^4(T_{\mathbb{C}} M)$. We consider $\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)$, the contraction of $\mathcal{T}(\eta)$ with ω_H in the first two H -variables, which is a section

of $EE \otimes \Lambda^3(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$. Its total symmetrization $\text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))$ in the H -variables is a section of $EE(S^3H)\Lambda^3E$. Leaving the first two E -variables of $\text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))$ unchanged and contracting $\text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))$ with ω_E on Λ^3E , as in (2), we get a section $\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))$ of $EE(S^3H)E$.

To define $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}(\eta)$ we project $\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))$ on $(S^3H)\Lambda^3E$ and then we project the result on $(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E$ and $(S^3H)E$ respectively, using the decomposition (3), with $r = 3$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\eta) := \text{pr}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))). \quad (23)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}(\eta) := \omega_E \bullet \text{pr}_{(S^3H)\Lambda^3E}(\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta))) \quad (24)$$

is the contraction of $\text{pr}_{(S^3H)\Lambda^3E}(\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\eta)))$ with the symplectic form ω_E .

Proposition 6. *The operators $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}$ defined by (23) and (24) are non-trivial on $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \otimes (S^2H\Lambda_0^2E)$.*

Like in the proof of Proposition 3, we will show that $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\gamma_0\alpha_0)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}(\gamma_0\alpha_0)$ are non-zero. This is a consequence of the next Lemma.

Lemma 7. *The following fact holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{S^3H\Lambda^3E}(\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0\alpha_0))) &= -\frac{6(n-1)}{4n-3} \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(e_i \wedge \tilde{e}_i \wedge e_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{4(4n^2-3n+3)}{4n-3} \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \tilde{e}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof goes as in Lemma 4. Applying definitions, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet (\gamma_0\alpha_0)) &= 2n \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\tilde{e}_1e_2e_1 - \tilde{e}_1e_1e_2) \\ \omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet (\gamma_0 \wedge \alpha_0(\cdot))) &= (2n-4) \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(e_1\tilde{e}_1e_2 - e_2\tilde{e}_1e_1) \\ &\quad + 4 \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\tilde{e}_1e_2e_1 - \tilde{e}_1e_1e_2) \\ &\quad - 2 \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\tilde{e}_ie_2e_i + e_2\tilde{e}_ie_i) \\ &\quad + 2 \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(e_2e_i\tilde{e}_i + e_ie_2\tilde{e}_i) \\ \omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet F) &= \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})((4n-5)e_i\tilde{e}_ie_2 - (2n-3)e_ie_2\tilde{e}_i) \\ &\quad + \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\tilde{e}_ie_ie_2 - e_2e_i\tilde{e}_i + e_2\tilde{e}_ie_i - \tilde{e}_ie_2e_i) \\ \omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet G) &= \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(-2e_i\tilde{e}_ie_2 + e_2e_1\tilde{e}_1 - \tilde{e}_1e_1e_2 - e_1e_2\tilde{e}_1) \\ &\quad + \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\tilde{e}_1e_2e_1 + e_ie_2\tilde{e}_i + e_1\tilde{e}_1e_2 - e_2\tilde{e}_1e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (21) with these relations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)) &= \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\beta_1 \tilde{e}_1(e_1 \wedge e_2) + \beta_2 \tilde{e}_i e_2 e_i) \\
&\quad + \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(\beta_3 e_2(\tilde{e}_i \wedge e_i) + \beta_4 e_i e_2 \tilde{e}_i) \\
&\quad + \beta_5 \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})(e_2 \tilde{e}_1 e_1 - e_1 \tilde{e}_1 e_2) \\
&\quad - \frac{\text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})}{4n-3}((4n-1)e_i \tilde{e}_i e_2 + \tilde{e}_i e_i e_2) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})}{4n-3}(e_1 \wedge e_2) \tilde{e}_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constants β_i are defined by

$$\beta_1 = -\frac{2(16n^2 - 4n - 1)}{5(4n - 3)} \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{8n - 11}{5(4n - 3)} \quad \beta_3 = -\frac{8n - 1}{5(4n - 3)} \quad \beta_4 = \frac{18n - 11}{5(4n - 3)}$$

and

$$\beta_5 = -\frac{2(4n^2 - 11n + 11)}{5(4n - 3)}.$$

Skew-symmetrizing $\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet (\gamma_0 \alpha_0))$ in the E -variables we obtain our claim. $\square$

Corollary 8. *Both $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ are non-zero.*

Proof. Since $\text{pr}_{S^3H\Lambda^3E}(\omega_E \bullet \text{sym}^H(\omega_H \bullet \mathcal{T}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)))$ is not a multiple of ω_E , $\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)\Lambda_0^3E}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0)$ is non-zero. On the other hand, using Lemma 7, it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{T}_{(S^3H)E}(\gamma_0 \alpha_0) = \frac{4n(n+3)}{4n-3} \text{sym}^H(hh\tilde{h})e_2. \tag{25}$$

$\square$

Corollary 8 implies Proposition 6. Proposition 3 and Proposition 6 conclude the proof of our main result.

4 Acknowledgements

I grateful to Paul Gauduchon for many useful discussions about conformal-Killing forms and to Uwe Semmelmann for his interest in this work. This work was supported by Consiliul National al Cercetarii Stiintifice din Invatamantul Superior, through a CNCSIS grant IDEI "Structuri geometrice pe varietati diferentiabile", [code 1187/2008].

References

- [1] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon: *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry, I General Theory*, J. Diff. Geom., vol. 73, no 3 (2006), p. 359-412.
- [2] R. Bryant: *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math., vol. 126, no. 2 (1987), p. 525-576.
- [3] L. David, M. Pontecorvo: *A characterization of quaternionic-projective space by the conformal-Killing equation*, J. London Math. Soc., vol. 80 no. 2 (2009), p. 326-340.
- [4] A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann: *Twistor forms on Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) 2 (2003), no. 4, p. 823-845.
- [5] S. M. Salamon: *Quaternionic-Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. 67, no. 1 (1982), p. 143-171.
- [6] U. Semmelmann: *Conformal-Killing forms in Riemannian geometry*, Math. Z. 245 no. 3 (2003), p. 503-527.
- [7] A. Swann: *Aspects symplectiques de la geometrie quaternionique*, C. R. Acad. Paris, t. 308, Serie I, 1989, p. 225-228,
- [8] A. Swann: *Some remarks on quaternion-Hermitian manifolds*, Archivum Math., vol. 33, no. 4 (1997), p. 349-354.